

The decline and fall of the “Someone” empire: The possibility of a chain-reaction to dissolution of “self”.

In the process of healing treatment it is very clear that the nature of touch is by far and away the most powerful of medicines for the human being. The nature of touch, how the touch manifests itself, can therefore either increase the sense of separation or can be an intimate connection which is curative at root. The nature of the practitioner is fundamental to this. Clearly any sense of separation that is buried deep under the façade of the practitioner wherein “self” strongly believes that *“I am the power behind the throne of medicine, and knowledge and understanding come through me and me alone”* will then be passed on not only to the patient but also to the string of disciples that practitioner will undoubtedly have.

One can learn the nature of what health is through the process of negation of what it isn't, as well as the realization of connection. In many cases what we are told to be “healthy” or “healing” is quite the opposite, hidden under a hierarchical blanket of masters and students, gurus and complexes that completely obliterate the nature of nature which occurs through the role of both practitioner and patient as a unified connection. *Healing happens at the point of contact* and is utterly spontaneous, therefore it cannot have anything to do with either practitioner or patient. Intention is for the bigots of medicine, and they wield it as if it was a blade of truth, only to cut themselves eventually on its edges.

However, the nature of the pure connective touch is deeply important in healing. Its impact resonates far beyond all other forms of treatment, for example anything that is not human, i.e. metal needles, herbs, moxibustion, stones or crystals, magnets, even other devices which are often made of natural materials but are still not the human energetic field, as such these always secondary to the human touch. But why is this? The reason is that the nature of healing is the process of ending the dis-ease of the sense of “separation” at root. It is the ending, even temporarily, of the situation of feeling “on my own” and instead feeling at least known and connected to and at most there is a total interruption of “me” and an ending of this and a dropping into Oneness.

Because the nature of the human-condition is part of the human energy field and the nature of this field most of the time is a situation of the distortional vibration resonant to the sense of separation of “you” vs. “me”, then on those rare occasions during healing or indeed any interaction where there is no longer a “me” or “you”, or perhaps a more subtle “me” and “you”, means there is a possible altering of this field and there ensues a situation of connection which is both intimate and impersonal. This connection can be a feeling of going home, a feeling of relief and is the realization of not needing to be or do anything, it is a beginning of the return to the natural-state of a human. Please note that much is said in the ideological New-Age press of the “law of attraction” (from Rhonda Byrne's “The Secret”), but actually this ideology is about the nature of resonance, not attraction, opposites poles attract, this is very basic. Resonance is about vibrational energy of the *same type*. If there is a resonant frequency of the distortion of attraction in the human energy field this is quite a din, although the resonance of nature is much louder, Tony Parsons says it is the loudest thing in the room that No-one is listening to. However the point is that resonant frequency of humans is generally a distortion of their nature, including the illusional

notion of the ideology of “The Secret” by Rhonda Byrne. Human energy influences other human energy more easily because humans are intimately One, whether known or unknown. Hence if the whole of humanity is nuts it’s likely that everyone will continue to join the club.

The ultimate point of the breaking down of the “me” and “you” divide seldom happens in treatment because the nature of the situation is really only at the edge of the “self”. There is normally a quotient of “me” in both the patient and practitioner, causing a division that keeps them separate on some level. Even in relationships when people are intimately connected there is still a division... in some cases more profoundly than with anyone else!

So it is very rare for a person to encounter a human energy field that has absolutely no-self present at its core. There are of course such expressions of nature in various forms, one of which is through Tony Parsons (<http://www.theopensecret.com>). While I write about Tony in many of these articles this is not a deification of the man, nor am I interested in “promoting” him. It is simply an illustration of a realization that this is one such rare expression of a natural-human energy field which has no-self at centre, no collection or contraction of “me”. It is perhaps better to describe Tony as a phenomenon, like the sea or the wind or a tree, than it is to suggest that it/he is a person, for this would be to ascribe or attribute something to this which is unfounded. It is a state of total poverty of human-self-contracted-energy-frequency and therefore is simply total openness, which is neither achieved or achievable, essentially it is a spontaneous phenomenon of nature. This is important, because while all of nature and in fact the whole of the universe has the same resonance of Oneness which is representing through what is called Tony Parsons (and of course also every human but just with the add-on of “me”), the energy field of the Tony aspect of humanity is very different from the broader human energy field. When that which has no “self” meets that which believes it has “self” this can trigger a total collapse of the “me” like a domino effect. The energy field of what we call “Tony Parsons” doesn't respond from “self” and as such this is a very rare expression in the vast majority of society where there is always a me/you or dual nature to the interaction.

The fact that there is such a phenomenon of *human* without “self” is a very potent and absolute expression of healing, which is unlimited. It cannot be objectified and is totally spontaneous, it occurs particularly in contact with infant children. There is a close connection between the infant human, the indigenous peoples of the world and expressions such as those of Tony Parsons. So if the average human spent a lot of time with infant children, or with Tony Parsons or with indigenous tribal peoples would here be a change?

Well it’s hard to know....there is of course a deep desire of the seeker for change, so there is an immediate draw towards all of these arenas as a solution for suffering with the result that these expressions can be guru-fied and turned into deities to be worshipped, but this loses their essence and blocks any possible realization from occurring as it is still all seeking. When we are open to them these human expressions of the natural-state allow us to access an ultimately powerful connection that is utterly anarchic and breaks down the idea of “self”. When encountering these phenomena for long enough they wear away, if not completely collapse, the nature of the “self”, however most often this energetic connection is missed. We take Tony Parsons to be

“another person with his point of view”, we take the infant to be “just a baby” and we see the indigenous person as “a primitive”. There is very little openness to these human-connections to the end of suffering and as such there is a total dismissal of the truth and the anarchic origin of all creation through these human forms.

If we imagine for a moment a world wherein there are fewer expressions of the disease of “myself” and more expressions of No-one-ness. In this situation there would be an easy domino-effect of ending of “self”, because the resonant frequency of the human energy field would be completely different and far less distorted. Whereas conversely in today’s world it is far more likely that the “self” dis-ease indoctrinates the senses of a child at a very early age and so springs the trap of “self” from then onwards in life.

However, the rare expressions such as those of Tony Parsons, infants and indigenous peoples are natural-anarchy in our midst, and also of the ending of the nature of human suffering. The human energy field of “self” is not in these expressions either, because it has not yet been formed or it has died a death. In either case the great truth of this expression is that for the human whose energy field is naturally ripened to a juncture of collapse, these expressions of human-to human energetic field contact trigger the total annihilation of “self” and end the process of “me” once and for all. Just as historically there has been a rise of the empire of “self” in recent humans, these expressions may in future spark a domino-effect of the ending of “self”. When there is an understanding that the infant child holds the key to instinct and that the expressions of Tony Parsons and the few other voices of “radical” non-duality are actually simply expressions of no-oneness through these human energy fields, this allows for the realisation that there are human expressions of no-suffering and as a result are vital points of contact for those who suffer, i.e. the majority of the human race. Encountering such expressions is like encountering “home” within, this is not connected to externally it is something that knows and is intimate with the very core of the energetic field of humanity and as such is the only thing that can look past and disrupt all the aspects of “self”, penetrating to the heart of the matter without lifting a finger. As far as these expressions are concerned there is total freedom as nothing is disconnected, nature goes past any defence that the “self” can muster.

If only it was as easy as this:-

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0VhfPN_6fcA

Please see too the beautiful “La Belle Verte”:-

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p4Y85-rexgk&feature=fvst>

Even if we are unaware of it, human contact is the most powerful expression of non-duality, the nature of Tantra is also one of the oldest metaphors of this connection, being the origin of collapse of the sense of “me” as a separate expression. When humans connect it is not from the head but at the very core of our being. There can only be One...and you can be sure it won’t be like this:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4AoOa-Fz2kw>

David Nassim
18/5/ 2012